

Docket No.: M4065.0512/P512

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Examiner: Nitin C Patel

Dumitru Cioaca

Application No.: 10/091,031

Allowed: May 16, 2006

Filed: March 6, 2002

Group Art Unit: 2116

For: DATA CONTROLLED

PROGRAMMABLE POWER SUPPLY

## APPLICANTS' COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents

MS: <u>Issue Fee</u> P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

While Applicants do not disagree with the Examiner's stated reasons for allowance, Applicants note that allowed claims 1, 3-13, 15, 24, 36, 37, 39, 41 and 43 define unique combinations of limitations not found in the prior art. Therefore, the stated reasons for allowance should be interpreted as highlighting only some of the reasons why the claims are allowable.

As usual, the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons For Allowance.

Dated: August 16, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Jerome A. Deluca

Registration No.: 55,106 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicants